

## The influence of emissivity on the thermo-rheological modeling of the channelized lava flows at Tolbachik volcano

Michael Ramsey, Magdalena Oryaëlle Chevrel, Diego Coppola, Andrew J.L. J.L. Harris

### ▶ To cite this version:

Michael Ramsey, Magdalena Oryaëlle Chevrel, Diego Coppola, Andrew J.L. J.L. Harris. The influence of emissivity on the thermo-rheological modeling of the channelized lava flows at Tolbachik volcano. Annals of Geophysics, 2019, 61 (Vol 61 (2018)), 10.4401/ag-8077 . hal-02150633

## HAL Id: hal-02150633 https://sde.hal.science/hal-02150633

Submitted on 7 Jun2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ACCEPTED ON ANNALS OF GEOPHYSICS, 61, 2018; Doi: 10.4401/ag-8077

## The influence of emissivity on the thermorheological modeling of the channelized lava flows at Tolbachik volcano

Michael S. Ramsey1, Magdalena Oryaëlle Chevrel2, Diego Coppola3, Andrew J.L. Harris2

1 Department of Geology and Environmental Science, University of Pittsburgh, 4107 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260-3332, USA 9 2 Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne - CNRS -IRD, OPGC, Campus Universitaire des table Cézeaux, 6 Avenue Blaise Pascal, 63178 Aubière Cedex, France 3 Universita' Degli Studi Di Torino, Dipartimento Di Scienze Della Terra, Via Valperga Caluso, 15 35 – 10125, Torino, Italy

# The influence of emissivity on the thermo-rheological modeling of the channelized lava flows at Tolbachik volcano

1	
4 5 6 7	Michael S. Ramsey <sup>1</sup> , Magdalena Oryaëlle Chevrel <sup>2</sup> , Diego Coppola <sup>3</sup> , Andrew J.L. Harris <sup>2</sup>
8 9 10	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geology and Environmental Science, University of Pittsburgh, 4107 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260-3332, USA
10 11 12 13	<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne - CNRS - IRD, OPGC, Campus Universitaire des tableCézeaux, 6 Avenue Blaise Pascal, 63178 Aubière Cedex, France
14 15 16	<sup>3</sup> Universita' Degli Studi Di Torino, Dipartimento Di Scienze Della Terra, Via Valperga Caluso, 35 – 10125, Torino, Italy
17 18 19	
20	Submitted: 27 December 2018
21	Reviewed: 15 February 2019
22 23	Revised: 27 March 2019
24	
25	Submitted to: Annala of Caenbusics Chasic Lague 2047 Catania MaMaVala Warkshan
20 27	Submitted to: Annais of Geophysics Special Issue - 2017 Catania Memovoic Workshop
28	
29	Pages: 44
30	Tables: 4
31	Figures: 13
32	
33 34	
35	
36	Address correspondence to:
37	Michael Ramsey
38	Department of Geology and Environmental Science
39	University of Pittsburgh
40	4107 O'Hara Street
41 40	Pittsburgh, PA 15260
+∠ 43	phone: 412-624-8772
44	fax: 412-624-3914
45	email: mramsey@pitt.edu

46 Abstract.

47 The application of thermo-rheological models to forecast active lava flow emplacement and 48 quantify important eruptive parameters of older flows has become a more common over the last 49 decade. With the modification and adaption of these models to modular computing languages, 50 they are now easier, guicker, and are being incorporated into studies of both terrestrial and 51 planetary volcanism. These models rely on certain assumptions and input parameters, some of 52 which such as emissivity are not well understood for molten materials. Without a well-grounded 53 knowledge of how this parameter governs radiant cooling, remote measurements of temperature 54 and models such as FLOWGO that rely on these temperatures to track cooling with time will be 55 in error. Here, we perform a detailed FLOWGO-based modeling study of lava flows emplaced at 56 Tolbachik volcano during the 2012-2013 and the 1975-1976 eruptions. Specifically, we have 57 modified the FLOWGO model to incorporate a two-component emissivity model linked to the 58 fraction of molten lava and cooled crust. We focus first on the large Leningradskoye Flow 59 emplaced at the start of the 2012 eruption, relying on data from numerous other orbital sensors 60 including MODIS, ASTER and ALI to constrain some of the model input parameters. The two-61 component emissivity adaption produced better fits to the final flow length, directly related to the 62 crust cover percentage. We then applied the constrained model to the large Cone II flow formed 63 in 1975, for which no satellite-based data are available. Results revealed that a nearly identical 64 model fit was achieved with initial effusion rate of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s or 1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s. However, for the higher 65 the effusion rate, a lower the crust cover is needed to fit the flow length and width. This 66 represents the first study to implement two-component emissivity into thermo-rheological 67 modeling of lava flows. The results show that this is an important factor for model accuracy and

critical for large, higher effusion rate flows as well as for our understanding of older flows on
Earth and other planets.

70

#### 71 Introduction.

72 Basaltic volcanism is ubiquitous on Earth and the other inner solar system bodies. Over half 73 of the world's volcanoes consist largely of basaltic-dominated systems occurring at every 74 tectonic setting and on every continent [Walker, 2000]. The basaltic volcanic eruptions at 75 Tolbachik in Russia (2012-2013); Bardarbunga in Iceland (2014); Etna in Italy (2018); Piton de 76 la Fournaise on Reunion Island (2018) and Kilauea in Hawaii (2018) reinforce the recurring 77 hazard potential of basaltic activity. The 2018 activity at Kilauea formed a very large, stable 78 channel from the vent to the ocean entry and destroyed numerous homes and property before 79 coming to an end [HVO, 2018; Neal et al., 2018]. Until the Kilauea eruption, the 2012-2013 80 eruption of Tolbachik volcano was the most thermally intense flow-forming eruption in the past 81 50 years, producing  $\sim$ 2.5 times more emitted energy than that of a typical eruption at Etna [e.g., 82 MODVOLC, 2013; Pieri et al., 1990]. Monitoring flow propagation direction, velocity and effusion 83 rate, therefore, are critical for the flow models that have evolved over time. Several of these are 84 focused on heat loss and down-flow topography to predict flow advance [e.g., Dragoni 1989; 85 Favalli et al 2005; Garel et al 2014]. In addition to topography, the dominant (internal) factors 86 controlling flow propagation are the discharge rate combined with cooling and increasing 87 viscosity [e.g., Walker 1973; Miyamoto and Papp 2004; Harris and Rowland 2009]. All of these 88 models, however, rely on surface temperature, a key source term parameter that is commonly 89 measured using satellite- or ground-based thermal infrared (TIR) instruments [e.g., Flynn and 90 Mouginis-Mark 1992; Wright and Flynn 2003; Donegan and Flynn 2004]. It is the cooling of the

91 flow's uppermost radiating glassy surface that is directly imaged by these TIR instruments.
92 Understanding the emissive properties of this surface thus becomes critical for any
93 measurement or model reliant upon accurate knowledge of the kinetic temperature [e.g.,
94 Lillesand and Kiefer 1987; Ball and Pinkerton 2006; Harris 2013].

95 Thermo-rheological models of basaltic lava flows show that their morphological and dynamic 96 evolution are governed by the interaction between the hot viscous core and the outer crust [e.g., 97 Kilburn, 1993; Miyamoto and Sasaki, 1997; Miyamoto and Crown, 2006]. These models were 98 developed to examine flow evolution, heat loss, and ultimately their spreading rate, advance 99 velocity, inundation area and flow front arrival time [Harris and Rowland, 2001; Keszthelyi et al., 100 2000; Vicari et al., 2007]. A lava flow initially dissipates most of its heat radiatively, and with time 101 and distance, forms a cooler glassy surface that will thicken, increase in viscosity, and eventually 102 become a brittle crust [Hon et al. 1994]. With a constant lava discharge rate, the increase in 103 crust thickness and flow viscosity will eventually force a flow to stop (e.g., a cooling-limited flow) 104 [Guest et al. 1987; Rhéty et al. 2017]. Continued effusion upstream can then produce flow 105 inflation and/or new break-outs and flow directions [Peterson et al. 1994; Crown and Baloga 106 1999]. The magnitude of a flow's radiative cooling is related most strongly to the surface 107 temperature and percentage of insulating crust [Flynn and Mouginis-Mark 1994], whereas the 108 efficiency of that cooling is directly proportional to the emissivity of the hot fraction of the lava's 109 surface [Holman 1992; Ramsey and Harris, 2013, 2016].

Emissivity is the unitless, wavelength-dependent fundamental property of a material and is sensitive to its composition, state and structure [e.g., Crisp et al. 1990; Kahle et al. 1995; Burgi et al. 2002] because it is directly related to the vibrational motion of the atomic bonds within the material (i.e., the petrology and structural state of the material). It is also influenced by the

micron-scale surface roughness [Ramsey and Fink, 1999], and to a lesser degree, the look angle between the instrument and surface [Ball and Pinkerton 2006]. Infrared spectra acquired remotely may be used to distinguish bulk wt. % SiO<sub>2</sub>, the presence of volcanic glass and the surface texture/vesicularity [e.g., Moxham 1971; Crisp et al. 1990; Ramsey et al., 2012]. Changes in material state (i.e., solid vs. molten vs. amorphous) or structure (i.e., composition) dramatically affect this infrared property. If emissivity is equal to unity at all wavelengths, the material is said to be a blackbody or perfect radiator.

121 The radiative temperatures of flows derived from thermal cameras or satellite instruments 122 rely explicitly on knowledge of the surface emissivity, which is typically assumed close to unity 123 [see review in Ramsey and Harris, 2013]. Past anecdotal or poorly-constrained field 124 measurements hinted at the fact that a melt's emissivity was lower than the cooled surface [e.g., 125 Abtahi et al., 2002]. If true, then so too is the derived effective radiation temperature [Pieri et al, 126 1990]. An incorrect overestimate of emissivity will, therefore, overestimate the calculated 127 radiative heat loss [e.g., Harris and Rowland, 2001; Keszthelyi and Denlinger, 1996; Keszthelyi 128 and Self, 1998], which has a direct consequence on the cooling rate and the modeled final run-129 out distance. Conversely, for multispectral TIR measurements, an incorrectly derived 130 temperature results in an emissivity spectrum that is distorted in shape and incorrect in 131 magnitude limiting accurate estimates of composition [Rose et al., 2014].

Here, we examine the effect of different emissivity values for the molten and crust fractions on the final length of channelized flows. More specifically, we use visible and infrared satellite data during the 2012-2013 eruption of Tolbachik, Kamchatka (Russia) to constrain certain modeling parameters used by PyFLOWGO [Chevrel et al., 2018]. These satellite data provide important knowledge of the time-averaged discharge rate (TADR), channel width, radiant

emission, and fraction of crust, all of which are used to refine the model results. We then use the
constrained model to examine the large Cone II Flow that was emplaced in the same region 36
years earlier during the "The Great Tolbachik Fissure Eruption" (GTFE), which started on 6 Jul
1975 and ended on 10 Dec 1976 [Fedotov et al., 1991].

141

#### 142 **The Tolbachik eruptions.**

143 The Tolbachik complex (55.83° N, 160.33° E) is located on the Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia 144 and is comprised of two volcanoes: Plosky ("flat") Tolbachik (PT) with an elevation of 3,085 m 145 and Ostry ("sharp") Tolbachik (OT) at 3,682 m. The westernmost OT is a peaked stratovolcano 146 and the easternmost PT is a flat-topped shield volcano with a collapse caldera that formed during 147 the GTFE eruption. To the south of these peaks lie  $\sim$ 875 km<sup>2</sup> of basalt flows, pyroclastic deposits, 148 and a NNE alignment of cinder cones called Tolbachik Dol (TD) or "valley" [Fedotov et al., 1991]. 149 The GTFE was Kamchatka's largest volumetric basaltic eruption in historic times. The axial 150 portion of TD concentrates in a narrow (3 - 4 km) zone where ~ 80% of all the eruptive centers 151 are located (Figure 1). This eruption created a 20 km long chain of new cinder cones and flows, 152 with the largest (the Cone II Flow) emanating from the second cone of the northern vents in the 153 crater chain [Fedotov et al., 1991]. This flow formed between 6 July and 10 Sept 1975, with its 154 central channel later covered by a smaller and thinner flow emplaced between 11 and 15 Sept 155 1975. The ~ 5 km long Cone II flow is 50 – 60 m thick with well-developed 30-m-high levees and 156 a central channel that disappears down-flow where it spreads over flatter topography. In this 157 zone, dominant flow folds (15 - 20 m high) are present perpendicular to the flow direction. Also 158 present are regions of elevated thermal output that persist today. The slow cooling of this thick,

- voluminous unit produces surface temperatures in excess of 100° C, which have been measured
  in the cracks on the crests of the large flow folds [Wessels et al., 2005].
- 161



162

Figure 1. ASTER VNIR image acquired on 2 March 2013 centered on the Tolbachik Dol ("valley"), with channels 3 (0.807 μm), 2 (0.661 μm), 1 (0.556 μm) in red, green, blue, respectively. Spatial resolution is 15 m/pixel. The longest flow emplaced early in the eruption sequence is the Leningradskoye flow. Similarly, the other large flow analyzed here is the 1975 Cone II flow. Note the snow-free regions toward the flow's terminus due to elevated heat flow over 40 years after emplacement. Inset image is the TFE-50 vent region (brightened with a histogram equalization stretch) shown at full resolution. The active Naboko vent and channels shown in red are denoted by arrows.

- 170
- 171 After nearly four decades of quiescence, Tolbachik volcano began a new eruption in
- 172 November 2012 that continued until September 2013. It is officially named the "TFE-50 eruption"

173 after the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (IVS). Excellent details 174 on the chronology, style and character of the eruption and eruptive products are given by 175 Belousov et al. [2015] and Melnikov and Volynets [2015]. The Kamchatka Volcanic Eruption 176 Response Team (KVERT), which is part of IVS, initially reported episodes of volcanic tremor as 177 early as 7 Nov 2012 [BGVN, 2012]. That same day, observers from the village of Kozyrevsk (~ 178 40 km W) reported ash explosions and a red glow seen in the same area as the northern vents 179 from the GTFE. Basaltic lava effused from two fissures along the west side of TD and a large 180 thermal anomaly was immediately detected in polar orbiting, low spatial resolution satellite data 181 [KVERT, 2012], which triggered later data acquisition from the high spatial resolution sensors 182 used in this study. Vigorous fire fountaining activity produced channel-fed, fast-moving lava flows 183 (Figure 2). By the time of the first cloud-free high spatial resolution orbital data acquisition by the 184 Advanced Land Imager (ALI) on 1 Dec 2012, the primary flow (later named the Leningradskoye 185 flow) emanating from the Naboko vent had already reached 11.3 km in length (Figure 3), 186 eventually growing to ~ 14 km on 3 Dec, and ~ 17 km by 8 Dec with numerous smaller breakouts 187 and new flows occurring over the subsequent months. The Leningradskoye flow is channelized, 188 15 m thick a'a flow [Belousov et al., 2015]. It advanced at ~200m/h fed by the high effusion rates 189 in the initial stage of the eruption. During this early phase of high effusion rates, the flow initially 190 traveled through a deep and narrow channel with a velocity of 2-3 m/s [Belousov et al., 2015]. 191 The flow within the channel was relatively uncrusted, which changed several weeks later as the 192 discharge rate dropped and a short tube formed at the upper part of the channel near the vent. 193 Lava emerging from the tube at this point in time was nearly completely covered by a flexible, 194 frothy crust 5-10 cm thick [Belousov et al., 2015].

195



Figure 2. Aerial photographs of the Leningradskove flow's open channel taken in the first week of the eruption. Image is looking east toward the fissure that intersected the Krasny cone (approximately 2km in the background). The channel is covered by  $\sim$  40% cooler crust. Inset shows a photograph taken on the same day of the same channel ~ 2km downstream. Here, the channel is covered with ~ 70% crust. Photographs courtesy of the Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (IVS), FEB RAS, KVERT.

**Figure 3.** EO-1 ALI image of the Leningradskoye flow acquired on 1 Dec 2012 with channels 9 (1.65  $\mu$ m), 8 (1.25  $\mu$ m), 7 (0.87  $\mu$ m) in red, green, blue, respectively. A square root stretch has been applied. Spatial resolution is 30 m/pixel. The increase color intensity in bands 8 and 9 (bright yellow) indicates the highest temperatures (open lava channels and the vent). 232 Lava effusion rates were calculated independently by several different methodologies. Aerial 233 surveys on 29 Nov 2012 by IVS scientists reported an initial average effusion rate of 440 m<sup>3</sup>/s 234 decreasing to 140 m<sup>3</sup>/s two weeks later [Gordeev et al., 2013; Dvigalo et al., 2014]. This 235 compares to the time-averaged discharge rate (TADR) derived from Moderate Resolution 236 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data of 278 m<sup>3</sup>/s on 1 Dec 2012, decreasing to an average 237 of 102 m<sup>3</sup>/s in the following two weeks (Figure 4). It should be noted that the TADR values can 238 have up to 30% error and represent a discharge rate averaged over the 12-24 hours prior to the 239 image acquisition [Coppola et al., 2010]. TADR were also calculated throughout the eruption 240 following each cloud-free high resolution satellite image. Ramsey and Harris [2016] mapped the 241 change in flow area using the high spatial resolution satellite data and a constant flow thickness 242 of 5m (based on field reporting at the time) [e.g., Gordeev at al., 2013]. The volumes are reported 243 in Table 1. Throughout 2013, the flow field expanded later being redirected to the eastern side 244 of the vent chain, eventually building three flow fields (Vodopadnoye to the NW, Leningradskoye 245 to the SW, and Toludskoye to the SE) that covered over 35 km<sup>2</sup> [Dvigalo et al., 2013].

246



Figure 4. MIROVA time averaged discharge rate circles) (TADR) plot (blue calculated using eq. 3. Also shown is the cumulative volume of erupted lava (red circles). A standard error of ± 30% is shown by the thinner lines on both plots.

DATE	DAY	FLOW FIELD AREA (km <sup>2</sup> )	EFFUSION RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
12/01/12	5	20.3	141
12/02/12	6	21.5	42
12/11/12	15	23.6	8
12/22/12	26	24.0	1
01/12/13	47	25.2	2

Table 1. Results of the first phase of the Tolbachik eruption using high spatial resolution satellite data to
 map flow field area and calculate effusion rate assuming a constant flow thickness of 5m. These results,
 calculated in real-time with each new satellite image acquired, compare quite well with later studies of the
 flow dimensions [Dvigalo et al., 2013; Gordeev et al., 2013].

261

262 Information on the erupted lava petrology is an important parameter constraint for the 263 PyFLOWGO modeling. Petrologic information for the 1975 GTFE eruption comes from Fedotov 264 et al. [1991] with the lava flow from Cone II falling into their "northern vents" category. These 265 lavas are classified as magnesian basalt with moderate alkalinity, having a range of eruption 266 temperature of 900 – 1050 °C. Field-based estimates of lava viscosity made from lava flow front 267 velocities ranged widely from  $10^4 - 10^{10}$  Pa s [Vende-Kirkov, 1978; Fedotov et al. 1991]. One 268 would expect a composition other than basalt for all but the very low end of this range, which 269 was not the case. The northern vent lavas had an average bulk SiO<sub>2</sub> wt. % of ~ 50 and 20 vol. 270 % phenocrysts, primarily clinopyroxene and olivine (3-8 mm). In contrast, Belousov et al. [2015] 271 reported that the lavas from the 2012 TFE-50 eruption were more evolved with a slightly higher 272 SiO<sub>2</sub> content of 52 – 53 wt. %. This, combined with the higher alkalinity, classifies these lavas 273 as basaltic trachyandesites (Table 2). Over time, the erupted lavas became more basic with SiO<sub>2</sub> 274 weight percentages decreasing. Plecheov et al. [2015] reports the average crystal content for 275 the Naboko vent lavas, which produced the Leningradskove flow, ranged from 23 - 29 vol. % 276 with a porosity of  $\sim 6$  vol. %. The primary crystals were plagioclase, olivine and titanomagnetite. 277 Based on geothermometry analyses, they estimate the eruptive lava temperature was ~1080 278 °C.

OXIDE	2012 FLOW (wt. %)	1975 FLOW (wt. %)	
SiO <sub>2</sub>	51.75	50.50	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.94	0.89	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	16.31	13.88	
FeO	8.38	7.09	
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.34	1.99	
MnO	0.20	0.18	
MgO	4.39	9.86	
CaO	7.31	11.55	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.93	2.55	
K <sub>2</sub> O	2.40	0.79	
$P_2O_5$	0.75	0.23	
LOI (~H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.30	0.47	

Table 2. X-ray fluorescence analysis of major oxides done for this study, comparing one sample from the 1975 GTFE to one from the 2012 TFE-50 Tolbachik eruptions. The 2012 sample is higher in AlO<sub>3</sub> as reported by Gordeev et al. [2013] and Belousov et al. [2015], plotting in the same geochemical space as their sample analyses. Comparing the SiO<sub>2</sub> wt. % to the sum of the K<sub>2</sub>O + NaO<sub>2</sub> wt. %, classifies the 1975 sample as a basalt and the 2012 sample as a basaltic trachyandesite, consistent with prior studies. Reported LOI is assumed equal to H<sub>2</sub>O because of the basaltic rock composition.

285

#### 286 Datasets and Modeling.

#### 287 High spatial resolution satellite data

288 The Advanced Land Imager (ALI) was launched aboard the Earth Observing-1 (EO-1) 289 spacecraft in November 2000, and was deactivated by NASA in 2017. ALI had ten spectral 290 channels in the visible/short wave infrared (VSWIR) region (0.43 – 2.35 µm) with nine having a 291 spatial resolution of 30 m and one (the panchromatic channel) spanning  $0.48 - 0.69 \mu m$  with 10 292 m resolution. The data were collected in four swaths, which spanned 37 km in width, with each 293 swath having a length that varied from 42-185 km [Digenis et al., 1998; Hearn et al., 2001]. ALI 294 acquired numerous observations of the flows throughout the 2012-13 eruptive phase, including 295 the first clear higher resolution image on 1 Dec 2012. 296 The Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) was

297 launched on the Terra spacecraft in December 1999 and measures ground-leaving radiance in

298 14 spectral channels [Yamaguchi et al., 1998]. With the failure of the shortwave infrared (SWIR)

subsystem in 2009, only two subsystems remain, each with different spatial/spectral resolutions: the visible/near infrared (VNIR) sensor with three channels ( $0.56-0.81 \mu$ m) at a 15 m spatial resolution and the TIR sensor with five channels ( $8.2-11.3 \mu$ m) with 90 m spatial resolution. ASTER was tasked to acquire data of the Tolbachik eruption at every observational opportunity (both day and night) from early Dec 2012 until late June 2013 (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Time series of ASTER TIR data acquired over the first 3 weeks of the TFE-50 eruption. All images are shown at the same scale, with a guassian stretch applied. Spatial resolution is 90 m/pixel. The (n) or (d) after the date refers to data acquired at night or day local time, respectively. (A) 2 Dec 2012 (n). (B) 3 Dec 2012 (d). (C) 11 Dec 2012 (n). (D) 12 Dec 2012 (d). (E) 18 Dec 2012 (n). (F) 20 Dec 2012 (n). Images A C and F contain various degrees of intervening cloud cover, though not enough to entirely block the TIR radiance, but significant enough to blur details and make accurate temperature retrievals impossible. Image D contains an inset of the VNIR data from the same date, which is able to resolve the individual open channels (shown by yellow arrows).

343 The ASTER science team also produced two versions of a global DEM (GDEM) dataset, 344 which averages all the ASTER scenes acquired over a given region. This process greatly 345 reduces instrument noise related errors and removes most cloud artifacts [Fujisada et al., 2005]. 346 Version 1 (v1), released in 2009, was compiled from over 1.2 million individual scenes. The 347 improved GDEM version 2 (v2) was released in 2011 adding 260,000 additional DEMs acquired 348 from 2008 - 2011, improving coverage and further reducing data artifacts. The refined production 349 algorithm for v2 also provides finer horizontal resolution and increased accuracy (e.g., vertical 350 accuracy of ~17 m on average) [Tachikawa et al., 2011].

351 The ASTER GDEM v2 is used as the topographic base layer of choice for the analysis of the 352 Cone II flow from the 1975-76 eruption. The creation of GDEM v2 ended with data acquired prior 353 to the start of the TFE-50 eruption. Therefore, we rely on the single-scene DEM's for the 2012-354 13 eruption. Pre-flow topography is extracted by mapping the position of the 2012 flow channels 355 from the ALI SWIR data and ASRER TIR data on the GDEM. These elevation data are used in 356 PyFLOWGO (Figure 6). Individual ASTER scene DEMs collected during the eruption were 357 analyzed to determine channel width, flow thickness, and channel topography where possible. 358 However, the presence of volcanic fume and cloud combined with the scene-dependent noise 359 limited the use of the individual scene DEMs. Pre-flow topography for the 1975 flow was 360 extracting from the GDEM using transects next to the flow and directly down the centerline of 361 the main channel. Channel widths of this larger flow are also easily resolved in the GDEM data. 362 Five channel cross sections were extracted down the length of the flow and used to constrain 363 PyFLOWGO model runs.

364

365



**Figure 6.** High resolution orbital data draped over the ASTER-derived DEM produced from the VNIR image acquired on 28 Feb 2013. DEM posting = 30 m. (A) ALI image acquired on 1 Dec 2012 with channels 9, 8, 7 in red, green, blue, respectively (see Figure 3). White triangles denote extracted radiance locations (see Figure 8B). (B) ASTER image acquired on 28 Feb 2013 with channels 3, 2, 1 in red, green, and blue, respectively. The active channel in the ALI image was traced, exported as a vector and shown in green.

#### 375 High temporal resolution satellite data

376 The MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) is carried on both the Terra 377 and Agua NASA-EOS satellites, which have been flown in sun-synchronous polar orbits since 378 February 2000 and May 2002, respectively. The two MODIS instruments provide radiometric 379 data in 36 spectral bands from 0.4 µm to 14.4 µm. Of these, 29 channels collect data in the IR 380 region with a nominal spatial resolution of 1 km at nadir. The ±55-degree scan angle produces 381 2330 km swath widths with data acquired approximately four times per day for a given target. At 382 the higher latitudes of the Kamchatka peninsula, however, 6-10 overpasses per day are common 383 due to pole-ward orbit convergence. MODIS IR channels, include a middle infrared (MIR) and a 384 TIR channel, centered at 3.96 µm (channels 21 & 22), and 12.02 µm (channel 32), respectively. 385 These are typically used to detect high-temperature volcanic thermal anomalies [Wright et al., 386 2002; Coppola et al., 2016].

387

#### 388 MIROVA System

389 MIROVA (Middle Infrared Observation of Volcanic Activity) is an automated global hot spot 390 detection system (http://www.mirovaweb.it) based on near-real time MODIS data [Coppola et al. 391 2016]. The system completes automatic detection and location of high-temperature thermal 392 anomalies through a series of processing steps. The system provides a quantification of the 393 Volcanic Radiative Power (VRP in Watts), by means of the MIR method of Wooster et al. [2003]:  $VRP = 18.9 \cdot A_{pixel} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{npix} R_{MIR,alert} - R_{MIR,bk}$ 394 (eq. 1) 395 where npix is the number of hot-spot contaminated pixels, Apixel is the pixel size (1 km<sup>2</sup> for MODIS) and RMIR,alert and RMIR,bk are the pixel-integrated MIR radiances (at 3.96 µm) of the i<sup>th</sup> 396

397 alerted pixel(s) and background, respectively. According to Wooster et al. [2003], the constant

of proportionality (18.9 m<sup>2</sup>·μm·sr) in the equation allows estimations of VRP (± 30%) from hot
surfaces having temperatures ranging from 600 - 1500 K. This makes the application of eq. 1 to
the lava flow surface a practical way to estimate the radiant flux of the active portion of the flow
field, with essentially no contribution from the cooler and cooling flow areas. The cumulative
Volcanic Radiative Energy (VRE) in Joules is calculated as the trapezoidal integration of the
VRP time series.

404 During effusive eruptions, the calculation of Time Averaged Discharge Rate (TADR) of lava 405 using satellite thermal data is an important input parameter for later modelling lava flow advances 406 and dynamics [Harris et al., 2016]. This approach, commonly referred to as the "thermal proxy", 407 is derived from the contribution of several studies that have continuously revised and refined the 408 theoretical framework and its practical application to real cases [e.g., Pieri and Baloga 1986; 409 Crisp and Baloga 1990; Harris et al., 1998; Wright et al., 2001; Harris et al., 2007a; Harris and 410 Baloga 2009; Dragoni and Tallarico 2009, Coppola et al, 2013; Garel et al., 2012, 2014, 2015, 411 Targuini et al., 2017]. A specific analysis of the TADR calculations using MODIS-MIROVA data 412 [Coppola et al., this volume] outlines the methods and limits of this approach during the 413 emplacement of large lava flows, such as the case for the TFE-50 Tolbachik eruption. Following 414 Coppola et al. [2013], a single coefficient, called radiant density (crad in J m<sup>-3</sup>), can be calculated 415 to describe the appropriate relationship between radiated energy (VRE) and erupted volume 416 (Vol) for the observed eruption:

417 
$$c_{rad} = \frac{VRE}{Val}$$
 (eq. 2)

For the Tolbachik eruption we considered a total volume of the lava flow of  $\sim$ 573 x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup> [Dai and Howatt, 2017] and calculated a c<sub>rad</sub> equal to 1.08 × 10<sup>8</sup> J m<sup>-3</sup>, a value typical of lava

flows having mafic composition [Coppola et al., 2013]. It follows that for any VRP measurements,
the corresponding TADR can be calculated following:

422 
$$TADR = \frac{VRP}{c_{rad}}$$
 (eq. 3)

- 423
- 424 Sensor webs

The improved temporal coverage of the Tolbachik TFE-50 eruption by the ALI and ASTER sensors was made possible by detection and communication protocols, as well as software to implement those protocols, all designed to create "sensor web" networks [Davies et al., 2016; Ramsey et al., 2016]. Both ASTER and ALI have 16-day nominal repeat observational frequencies at the equator, which improves at higher latitudes due to the convergence of overlapping orbit swaths. However, with the sensor web programs, these time periods are greatly improved [see Davies et al., 2016; Ramsey et al., 2016].

The sensors on the EO-1 spacecraft were used as part of the Volcano Sensor Web (VSW), which relied on onboard software for rapid scheduling and data processing using reports of volcanic unrest as triggers [Davies et al., 2016]. The VSW did not rely solely on detections from other orbital sensors, but also ground-based detection and communicated reports. The rapid retasking of the spacecraft, off-nadir pointing as well as the use of onboard data processing and downloading commonly enabled very rapid response times to volcanic eruptions.

A program that links high temporal/low spatial resolution data detection of thermally-elevated anomalies to specific scheduling of ASTER data has been in place since 2004 [Ramsey and Dehn, 2004; Carter et al., 2007, 2008; Rose and Ramsey, 2009; Duda et al., 2009; Ramsey, 2016]. The urgent request protocol (URP) global system started with (and has been heavily focused on) the northern Pacific region [Duda et al., 2009; Ramsey and Harris, 2013; Ramsey,

443 2015]. The URP program allows data acquisition frequency as high as night-day-night
444 observational triplets acquired approximately every two weeks and day-night pairs acquired
445 approximately every five days during the Tolbachik eruption.

446 The presence of the VSW and the URP provided a much larger volume of higher spatial 447 resolution data than would normally be available for such an eruption. For example, ALI acquired 448 the first high resolution image of the Tolbachik eruption on 1 Dec 2012, whereas the first clear 449 ASTER observation came ~ 30 hours later during a nighttime overpass. ASTER was also able 450 to acquire a daytime scene only 13.5 hours later due to the orbit configuration of the Terra 451 satellite [Ramsey, 2016]. During the first seven months of the Tolbachik eruption, there were 48 452 cloud free/nearly cloud free acquisitions by all high resolution satellite instruments (an average 453 of one scene approximately every 4 days). Of the total number of scenes, 33 were ASTER, 11 454 were ALI and 4 were from other sensors. The data acquired in the first few weeks of the eruption 455 in particular provided some of the best synoptic views, documenting vent locations, flow 456 lengths/direction, channel widths and their changes over time (Figure 5).

457

#### 458 PyFLOWGO modeling

The original one-dimensional FLOWGO model tracks the thermal and rheological evolution of a lava control volume using a series of heat loss equations coupled with the Jeffreys equation for Newtonian flow in an open channel, modified for a Bingham fluid [Harris and Rowland, 2001; Harris and Rowland, 2014]. Lava is tracked down-flow with its cooling, crystallization, viscosity and yield strength re-calculated at each down-flow step to estimate the velocity [Harris et al., 2007b; Riker et al., 2009; Robert et al., 2014]. The model was applied to various cooling limited lava flows [Harris and Rowland, 2001, Harris et al., 2005, Harris and Rowland, 2012, Rhéty et

466 al., 2017]. PyFLOWGO [Chevrel et al. 2018] implements the model using the Python open
467 source software [van Rossum, 1995].

468 To correctly simulate the evolution of down-flow lava properties, the user is allowed to change 469 the input parameters as well as the thermo-rheological models (i.e. heat loss mechanisms, 470 crystallization rate, temperature- and crystallinity-dependent viscosity, crust cover fraction, etc.) 471 within plausible limits [e.g. Harris et al., 2007b] so as to best-fit a natural flow. Input parameters 472 cover broad categories of channel dimension, eruption conditions, the radiative, conductive, 473 convective parameters, and material properties of the lava. Within these categories are some 474 terms (typically assumed) that are specifically related to (and derived from) the TIR radiance. 475 including emissivity, lava/crust temperatures, and vesicularity. Typically, lava emissivity and 476 vesicularity are assumed and held constant throughout a model run as the temperature of the 477 crust cools. As originally specified by Harris and Rowland [2001], the fraction of this crust 478 increases down-flow as flow velocity decreases (rather than being a function of the radiative 479 cooling), implying a flow regime in which the crust is more stable at lower flow velocities (and 480 presumably lower temperatures).

For thermo-rheological models such as PyFLOWGO that rely on radiant cooling, the question arises: to what degree does an incorrect emissivity (and perhaps other) assumption(s) affect the final model results. To first test whether emissivity plays a significant role in the radiant cooling, and therefore in determining the final cooling-limited flow length, we modified PyFLOWGO with a radiative heat flux ( $Q_{rad}$ ) model that uses an "effective" emissivity:

$$486 \qquad Q_{rad} = \sigma \varepsilon_{eff} T_{eff}^4 \tag{eq. 4}$$

Here, it is not just the effective radiation temperature ( $T_{eff}$ ) that depends on the crustal fraction (*f<sub>crust</sub>*), but also the effective emissivity ( $\varepsilon_{eff}$ ). This allows the effective emissivity to be computed

489 via a *two-component emissivity model* where the cooler lava crust emissivity ( $\varepsilon_{crust}$ ) is different 490 than that of the molten lava emissivity ( $\varepsilon_{hat}$ ):

491 
$$\varepsilon_{eff} = \varepsilon_{crust} f_{crust} + \varepsilon_{hot} (1 - f_{crust})$$
 (eq. 5)

492 This differs from previous FLOWGO modeling that assumed only a single emissivity ( $\varepsilon_{hot}$  =  $\varepsilon_{crust}$  = 0.95). Based on recent work by Ramsey and Harris [2016], Lee and Ramsey [2016] 493 494 and Lee et al. [2010], the emissivity of molten lava in situ ( $\varepsilon_{hot}$ ) and silicate glasses in the 495 laboratory is likely much lower (avg. ~ 0.6) over the 5 – 25  $\mu$ m TIR region. For the emissivity of 496 the crusted surface ( $\varepsilon_{crust}$ ), we use the same value (0.95) from prior studies [Harris, 2013]. 497 Assuming this two-component emissivity model, therefore, results in a lower radiant heat loss 498 for situations where the  $f_{crust} < 1$ . This should result produce a slower cooling flow and therefore, 499 a greater cooling limited distance (maximum length). The lower emissivity of the molten fraction 500 reduces its radiative efficiency; and hence, the control volume cools more slowly.

501

#### 502 **Results**.

#### 503 The two-emissivity model

504 To estimate the maximum difference in the cooling limited distance between the two 505 emissivity models, we first ran PyFLOWGO with a constant slope of 5°, crust temperature and 506 crust fraction down the entire flow length. The simulations are shown between an uncrusted flow 507  $(f_{crust} = 0)$  with an effective emissivity of 0.6 and a fully crusted flow  $(f_{crust} = 1)$  with an effective 508 emissivity of 0.95; as well as a flow that is half crusted ( $f_{crust} = 0.5$ ) having an effective emissivity 509 of 0.78. The maximum difference between the two models is expected to be between the 510 uncrusted and the fully crusted flows. Results show a linear relationship between crust 511 cover/emissivity to the final modeled flow distance. The two-component emissivity model 512 produces flows that are a maximum of 34% longer than flows using a single constant emissivity 513 (Figure 7). The difference in cooling limited distance here is only dependent on the crustal 514 fraction, which is a function of flow velocity and directly related (i.e., the complement) to the 515 fraction of molten material having the lower emissivity.

516



**Figure 7.** Percent difference between the run-out distances of the PyFLOWGO simulations using a 2component emissivity model ( $\varepsilon_{hot} = 0.6$  and  $\varepsilon_{crust} = 0.95$ ) versus a single emissivity model ( $\varepsilon_{hot} = \varepsilon_{crust} = 0.95$ ) as function of crust cover fraction. There is no difference in run-out distances if the flow is fully crusted. By contrast, if initially uncrusted, the maximum difference is 33%. Crust temperature plays a minor role, but those changes do not exceed the symbol size on the figure.

#### 525 Petrology

526 We analyzed the whole rock composition of two samples which were sampled from the lower. 527 folded region of the Cone II flow and near the terminus of the Leningradskove Flow (Table 2). 528 The Leningradskoye flow sample is broadly consistent with reported values [e.g., Gordeev et al., 529 2013; Belousov et al., 2015; Plechov et al., 2015]. The results from the Cone II flow sample, 530 however, do vary somewhat from those of the later stage northern vents reported by Fedotov et 531 al., [1991]. Our results show lower Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and higher in CaO and MgO, each by ~2 wt. %. These 532 variations would still classify the sample as basaltic, but are more consistent with the Fedotov et 533 al. [1991] results for the earlier stages of this eruption, which were emplaced before the Cone II 534 flow. These compositions were used for the petrologically-dependent fluid viscosity model used 535 by PyFLOWGO (Tables 2 and 3).

536

#### 537 The 2012 Leningradskoye Flow (TFE-50 eruption)

538 Using MIROVA, we calculate that the TFE-50 eruption radiated approximately 5.87 (±1.76) 539  $\times$  10<sup>16</sup> J into the atmosphere during the course of the eruption. Application of eq. 3 to the 540 Tolbachik MODIS data, suggests that the TADR peaked at the very beginning of the eruption 541 reaching 300 (±100) m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 29 November 2012 at 01:09 UTC. Later, the eruption showed a 542 progressive decline in intensity, with effusion rates dropping to 278 m<sup>3</sup>/s on 1 Dec 2012, less than ~100 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 13 December 2012, ~50 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, on 22 January 2013, and ~5 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> by 22 543 544 August 2013 (Figure 4). These values correspond closely to those derived from visual mapping 545 of the flow field using the higher resolution ASTER/ALI data (Table 1).

MODEL NAME "crystallization_rate_model" "melt_viscosity_model" "relative_viscosity_model" "yield_strength_model" "crust_temperature_model" "effective_cover_crust_model" "vesicle_fraction_model"	MODEL CHOICE basic vft er ryerson constant basic constant		REFERENCE Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) Giordano et al. (2008) Einstein-Roscoe model from Chevrel et al. 2018 Ryerson et al. (1988) Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018)
HEAT BUDGET CONTRIBUTION "radiation" / radiation (2 emissivity)" "condution" "forced convection" "rain" "viscous heating"	MODEL CHOICE yes yes no no		<b>REFERENCE</b> This study Harris and Rowland (2001) Harris and Rowland (2001) Harris and Rowland (2001) Harris and Rowland (2001)
PARAMETERS "step_size" "effusion_rate" "width" "depth" "gravity" "eruption_condition"	<b>VALUE</b> 10 278 30 6.1 9.81	UNITS m m <sup>3</sup> /s m m g/m <sup>2</sup>	<b>REFERENCE</b> Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) from MODIS (this study) ALI image data (this study) this study- best fit
"eruption_temperature" "lava_state" "crystal_fraction" "density_dre" "vesicle_fraction" "radiation_parameters" "stefan-boltzmann_sigma" "emissivity_epsilon_crust" "emissivity_epsilon_uncrusted"	1355.15 0.25 2630 0.06 5.67E-08 0.95 0.6	K kg/m <sup>3</sup> W/m <sup>2</sup> K <sup>4</sup>	Plechov et al. (2015) Plechov et al. (2015) Volynet et al. (2015) Plechov et al. (2015) stefan-boltzmann constant this study Lee and Ramsey (2016)
"conduction_parameters" "basal_temperature" "core_base_distance"	773.15 19	к	Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018)
"convection_parameters" "wind_speed" "ch_air" "air_temperature" "air_density" "air_specific_heat_capacity"	5 0.0036 273.15 0.4412 1099	m/s K kg/m <sup>3</sup> J/kg K	Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018) Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018)
"thermal_parameters" "buffer" "crust_cover_fraction" "alpha" "crust_temperature"	140 0.9 -0.16 773.15	°C °C	Harris and Rowland (2001) this study: best fit this study: best fit Belousov et al. (2015)
"melt_viscosity_parameters" "a_vft" "b_vft" "c_vft"	-4.55 6887.303 527.44	Pa s J/mol K	Volynet et al. (2015) Volynet et al. (2015) Volynet et al. (2015)
"crystals_parameters" "crystals_grown_during_cooling" "solid_temperature" "latent_heat_of_crystallization"	0.37 1253.15 350000	K J/kg	this study: best fit this study: best fit Harris and Rowland (2001) and Chevrel et al. (2018)

548 **Table 3.** All PyFLOWGO input models and parameters that were used for the Leningradskoye lava flow of the 2012Tolbachik eruption.

549 Because the ASTER GDEM is created from all ASTER scenes spanning from 2000 – 2011, 550 it does not resolve the TFE-50 eruption lava flow fields. The ASTER single scene DEM created 551 from the 11 Jan 2018 acquisition, however, was relatively free of these data errors and 552 represents the surface five years following the TFE-50 eruption. This DEM was precisely 553 geolocated to the ASTER GDEM, which was then subtracted from it. The outlines of the flow 554 fields are resolved as a positive elevation anomaly. Transects taken perpendicular to the 555 Leningradskoye Flow show steep levees and flow fronts with maximum thicknesses of 35 m. In 556 some of these transects, the channel is visible and ranges from 30-50 m in width and 5 – 20 m 557 in depth. It was not possible, however, to discern the channel at the vent, perhaps because it 558 had been filled in by subsequent flow activity. A transect down this flow varies in average 559 thickness from 46 m near the vent to 16 m over the rest of the flow.

560 PyFLOWGO was run to simulate the Leningradskoye lava flow that formed between 29 Nov 561 2012 and 1 Dec 2012. In that period, the flow was fed by an open channel and reached a length 562 of 11.3 km, which is 70% of its final length. After 1 Dec 2012, the effusion rate dropped and later 563 lava tubes formed allowing the flow to extend to its final length (16.4 km). We did not attempt to 564 fit the final flow length because PyFLOWGO is designed only for open channel systems. We use 565 the effusion rate for this time period determined by MIROVA (278 m<sup>3</sup>/s) for model initialization 566 and the steepest line of descent measured on the pre-flow ASTER GDEM. The active channel 567 widths are measured directly from the ALI and ASTER infrared data. These are likely 568 overestimates, however, due first to the minimum spatial resolution of 30 m/pixel (ALI) and 15 569 m/pixel (ASTER VNIR) and, second, to the intense radiance, which where convolved with an 570 instrument's point spread function, causes excess radiance to be detected in the surrounding 571 lava-free pixels. This results in the channel-related thermal anomaly to appear wider than the

572 actual widths measured in the field. The effect was most noticeable in the upper part of the flow 573 where the emitted radiance was most intense. The channel width at the vent was therefore set 574 to 30 m, the minimum resolvable distance in the ALI data and the ASTER DEM. To match the 575 effective MIROVA effusion rate over the at-vent slope, the depth is set at 6.1 m, which is in 576 agreement with field-based estimates [Belousov et al., 2015]. Some PyFLOWGO input 577 parameters were obtained by information obtained during the eruption [e.g., Plechov et al., 2015, 578 Volynet et al., 2015; Gordeev et al., 2015; Belousov and Belousova, 2018] or by assumptions 579 based on other well-constrained basaltic flows following Harris and Rowland [2001] and Chevrel 580 et al. [2018]. For example, to estimate the initial viscosity we consider the petrologic observations 581 from Plechov et al. [2015], which include the interstitial glass composition having 0.03 wt. % 582 H<sub>2</sub>O, and the cooled lava having an average of 25 vol. % crystals and 6 vol. % bubbles. We used 583 the model of Giordano et al. [2008] for the interstitial melt viscosity in association with the 584 Einstein-Roscoe model for computing the effect of crystals. Because the vesicle fraction is as 585 low as 6 vol. %, the effect of bubble on viscosity is neglected here. Model results produced an 586 initial viscosity of 1.9 × 10<sup>4</sup> Pa s at the eruption temperature of 1082 °C, which is in agreement 587 with field estimations and measurements done by Gordeev et al., 2015 and Belousov and 588 Belousova, 2018]. The simulation that best fit the flow length constrained by the channel widths 589 and emitted radiance down-flow measured from the ALI image was produced using the 590 parameters reported in Table 3 and is presented in Figure 8.

591



Figure 8. Best fit FLOWGO simulation for the Leningradskoye lava flow of the 2012 Tolbachik eruption using input models and parameters given in Table 3. The continuous line is for a two-component emissivity model, whereas the dashed line represents a singular emissivity of 0.95. The red dots are channel width measurements from ASTER and ALI images. The vertical red lines represent the flow length on 1 Dec 2012 measured from the ALI image. (A) Modeled channel width. Misfits near the beginning of the flow are likely due to the intense radiance from the lava in these regions causing the channels to appear larger than their width actual due to radiance bleeding into neighboring pixels. (B) Modeled emitted radiance. Misfits at greater distances here are likely due to increasing percentages of cooled crust/older lava mixina within these pixels.

The best fit model result used a variable crustal coverage as part of the "basic" model in PyFLOWGO, originally proposed by Harris and Rowland [2001]. This model allows  $f_{crust}$  to increase as a function of mean flow velocity ( $V_{mean}$ ):

$$632 f_{crust} = f_{init} e^{\alpha V_{mean}} (eq. 6)$$

633 where  $f_{init}$ , the initial (at vent) crust fraction and  $\alpha$ , a coefficient, were derived for a poorly 634 insulated flow by Harris and Rowland [2001] to be 0.9 and -0.16, respectively. The velocity of 635 the lava within the channel varied between 2 and 3.5 m/s within the first kilometer and then 636 progressively decreased down-flow (Figure 9d). These values are also in agreement with field-



637 based estimates reported in Belousov et al. [2015].

Figure 9. Thermo-rheological variations for the 2012 Leningradskoye lava flow properties using the best fit simulation and the input models and parameters given in Table 3. The continuous line is the two-component emissivity model results, whereas the dashed line represents a singular emissivity of 0.95. The vertical red lines represent the flow length on 1 Dec 2012 measured from the ALI image. The singular emissivity produces model results that consistently over-predict the actual flow length.

In these simulations, the difference between the single and the two-component emissivity is small because the modeled crust coverage fraction is high, varying from 60% – 90%. (Figure 10). This range is somewhat higher than seen in the field images of the open channel, which varied between 35% – 60% (Figure 2). However, the field-based values are derived from the visibly darker fraction of crust on the channel surface. It is possible that the "radiative crust" (i.e., surfaces that are still visibly red but have cooled enough to raise the emissivity) is higher.



**Figure 10.** Variations in the crustal coverage, effective emissivity and radiative heat loss for the 2012 Leningradskoye lava flow properties using the best fit simulation and the input models and parameters given in Table 3. The continuous line is the two-component emissivity model results, whereas the dashed line represents a singular emissivity of 0.95.

We also attempted to fit the spectral radiance obtained from the ALI data to the model-output for emitted spectral radiance from the simulated **PyFLOWGO** surface. Unsaturated pixel-integrated spectral radiance extracted down-flow values were (Figure 6a) from the ALI band 7 (0.87 µm). The subpixel hot fraction emitted radiance was estimated using the twocomponent mixing approach of Dozier [1981] and then compared to the PyFLOWGO model output (Figure 8b).

The results show that the two-component emissivity model does result in a spectral radiance that is closer to that of the ALI data. However, the fit deteriorates down-flow with the measured radiance decreasing to a near constant value, approximately an order of magnitude lower than the PyFLOWGO model predicted values. This overestimation by the model could be due to several factors. The ALI data are resampled during the conversion from the original radiance data (L1R) to the distributed L1Gst data product. This could cause mixing of radiant energy from

680 adjacent pixels containing cooled lava or snow, thus lowering the pixel-integrated values. 681 Furthermore, the ALI data have not been atmospherically corrected. There were significant 682 steam plumes and clouds encroaching on the upper part of the flow and smaller vapor plumes 683 are seen emanating from the lava channel along the entire length. This atmospheric interference 684 will lower the pixel integrated radiance [see Sawyer and Burton, 2006]. Finally, whereas 685 PyFLOWGO calculates emitted radiance based on the hottest lava temperature at a particular 686 point along the flow, the satellite data captures emitted energy from all temperatures within a 687 given pixel. It is very likely that within a 30 m pixel, there exists some fraction of hot material plus 688 that of cool/cold material, as well as numerous surfaces at temperatures between these two end-689 member temperatures [Dozier, 1981; Rothery et al., 1988; Harris, 2013]. These would not be 690 resolved in the two-component subpixel analysis and yet would lower the effective pixel-691 integrated spectral radiance. Therefore, use of spectral radiance as a constraint on PyFLOWGO 692 model output is best applied to higher-resolution (i.e., ground- or airborne) data together with in 693 situ measurements of the intervening atmosphere.

694

#### 695 The 1975 Cone II Flow (GTFE eruption)

The Cone II flow dimensions are easily resolved in the ASTER GDEM making it much more straight forward to measure the channel width and depth down-flow. Six perpendicular transects were taken from the base of Cone II the point where the flow spreads laterally and folding masks the end of the channel. The channel averaged 140 m in width and 11.8 m in depth over the six transects. At the base of Cone II, the channel is between 60-90 m wide and 8-9 m deep. These values are used as bounding constraints for initiation of PyFLOWGO (Table 4).

702

MODEL NAME "melt_viscosity_model"	MODEL CHOI basic	CE	<b>REFERENCE</b> Giordano et al. (2008)
PARAMETERS "effusion_rate" "width" "depth" "eruption_temperature" "viscosity_eruption" "crystal_fraction"	<b>VALUE</b> 700, 1250 70 8.7 1325.15 50000 0.20	<b>UNITS</b> m <sup>3</sup> /s m M K Pa s	<b>REFERENCE</b> this study- best fit (see crust fraction below) ASTER GDEM – this study this study- best fit Fedotov et al. (1991) this study- best fit Fedotov et al. (1991)
"thermal_parameters" fraction"	0.9, 0.4		this study: best fit

704 705

703 Table 4. Specific PyFLOWGO input models and parameters that differ from those in Table 3 that were used for the Cone II lava flow of the 1975 Tolbachik eruption.

706 This flow extended 5 km and was characterized by a very wide channel, oversteepened 707 levees and a folded terminus with a 50 m high flow front. The morphology of the flow makes it 708 appear perhaps more silicic than the actual composition, which is magnesian, moderately 709 alkaline basalt [Fedotov et al., 1991]. Samples acquired from this flow in 2005 were found to be 710 basalt with 50.5 wt. % SiO<sub>2</sub> (Table 2). Although the composition is basaltic, the effective viscosity was estimated in the field to range between 10<sup>4</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> Pa·s and up to 10<sup>9</sup> Pa·s, based on lava flow 711 712 front velocities [Vende-Kirkov, 1978; Fedotov et al. 1991].

713 To simulate the evolution of the thermo-rheological properties down-flow, we used the same 714 input parameters as used for the 2012 Leningradskoye lava flow but imposed an initial melt 715 viscosity on the low-end (5 x 10<sup>4</sup> Pa s) of the range provided by Vende-Kirkov [1978] together 716 with an eruption temperature of 1050 °C [Fedotov et al., 1991]. We were able to fit the channel 717 width and the final length with an effusion rate of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s and an initial channel dimension of 718 70 × 8.7 m (Figure 11). The difference between the two-component emissivity model and the 719 single emissivity model was negligible considering the high initial crust cover fraction of  $f_{init}$  = 720 0.9 used (Figure 12). However, the large channel and thickness of this flow indicates a higher 721 initial effusion rate and flow velocity could have been possible. In a case like this, a similar model

722 fit can be obtained with a higher effusion rate (1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s) using a similar initial channel size (70 723 x 8 m), but starting with a lower crustal coverage ( $f_{init}$  =0.4) and the two-component emissivity 724 model. For this case, it is critical to consider the two-component emissivity model as it has a 725 significant impact on the final results (Figures 11-13). In particular, using the single emissivity 726 model generated a much shorter flow (4000 m) where the viscosity increased to 10<sup>8</sup> Pa s (Figure 727 13c). Fedotov et al. [1991] estimated the flow velocity to be ~0.3 m/s approximately 2.5 km from 728 the vent, whereas Belousov et al. [2015] reported < 0.2 m/s. The modeled velocity of the lava 729 within the channel is estimated here to be 1.5 to 2 m/s near the vent, decreasing to < 0.5 m/s 730 after the first kilometer, which is in good agreement with the prior estimates.



Figure 11. Best fit PyFLOWGO simulation for the Cone II lava flow emplaced during the 1975 Tolbachik eruption. Input models and parameters are similar to those used for the 2012 eruption, except those presented in Table 4. The green lines show the results for an effusion rate of 1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s and an initial crust  $(f_{init})$ fraction of 0.4, whereas the black lines are for an effusion rate of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s and  $f_{init}$  = 0.9. The solid lines represent the two-component emissivity model results, whereas the dashed lines represent a singular emissivity of 0.95. The red dots are width measurements from ASTER GDEM data and the vertical red line represents the final flow length.



Figure 12. Variations in the crustal coverage, effective emissivity and radiative heat loss for the 1975 Cone II lava flow properties using the best fit simulation and the input models and parameters given in Table 3. The green lines show the results for an effusion rate of 1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s and an initial crust fraction  $(f_{init})$  of 0.4, whereas the black lines represent an effusion rate of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s and  $f_{init}$  = 0.9. The solid lines are the two-component emissivity model results, whereas the dashed lines are for the singular emissivity of 0.95.

#### Discussion.

The 2012-2013 eruption produced high effusion rate channelized basaltic flows useful for testing and refining the PyFLOWGO modeling. Because of a unique set of high-resolution orbital assets and data acquisition programs ongoing at the time of the eruption, unprecedented TIR data coverage exists throughout all phases of this eruption. These were useful to

constrain channel lengths and widths, vent locations, temperature, as well as multispectral
emissivity of molten surfaces and channel depths from digital elevation models (DEMs). This

information, combined with the detailed reporting from Russian scientists present during the eruption, were used to initiate PyFLOWGO modeling of the largest channelized flow, which formed near the very start of the eruption. With the ability to refine and calibrate the model using those additional satellite data, the best-fit model parameters were then applied to the large 1975 Cone II flow to investigate the impact of assumed parameters such as emissivity on PyFLOWGO.



797

**Figure 13.** Thermo-rheological variations for the 1975 Cone II lava flow properties using the best fit simulation. The input models and parameters are similar to those used for the 2012 eruption, except the few presented in Table 4. The green lines show the results for an effusion rate of 1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s and an initial crust fraction ( $f_{init}$ ) of 0.4, whereas the black lines represent an effusion rate of 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s and  $f_{init}$  = 0.9. The solid lines represent the two-component emissivity model results, whereas the dashed lines are a singular emissivity of 0.95. The vertical red lines represent the final flow length on 1 Dec 2012 measured from the ALI image.

804

805 The PyFLOWGO model provides a great degree of flexibility on many of the input variables 806 and choice of viscosity models. This flexibility also constraining the model output quite difficult 807 without knowledge of at least some of the input parameters, and highlights the need for 808 independent flow parameter data against which to best-fit model-driven scenarios. Past studies 809 using FLOWGO modeling commonly compared the results to well-constrained field data, such 810 as the channel dimensions, measurements of the crust percentage, velocity and/or cooling 811 profiles down-flow [Harris et al., 2007b; Wright et al., 2008, Harris and Rowland, 2009, 2012]. 812 Here, we apply PyFLOWGO, constrained primarily by high spatial (e.g., ASTER and ALI) and 813 high temporal (e.g., MODIS) resolution satellite data. The MODIS time-averaged discharge rate 814 and the ASTER-derived DEM data proved to be the most important as they provide the volume 815 flux source term, and topographic underlay required by the model, respectively. The ASTER/ALI 816 data also allowed the flow length, channel widths, advance rate, temperature and spectral 817 radiance to be constrained to some degree.

818 Two parameters measured from the high spatial resolution data (channel width and spectral 819 radiance) proved more difficult to constrain. The excessive radiance from the wider, less crust-820 covered channels closer to the vent resulted in overestimations of the measured channel widths. 821 Conversely, channelized regions with a modeled crust percentage greater than ~75% had 822 emitted spectral radiance much lower than the PyFLOWGO predictions. Incomplete atmospheric 823 correction, image resampling and pixel mixing likely produces emitted radiance values at scales 824 too complex to be extracted from the 30 m pixels examined here; an issue that warrants future 825 study.

Despite the paucity of constrained ground-based data and the complexities regarding higherlevel modeling of some of the high resolution image data, we were able to model the Leningradskoye lava flow by fitting the final flow length and starting discharge rate. Model output of core temperature, crystal fraction, mean velocity and viscosity are in good agreement with

ground and satellite control. Applying these constraints then to the Cone II flow combined with data extracted from the ASTER GDEM allowed us to estimate the discharge rate as well as the effect of variable emissivity and initial crust cover. The difference between two-component and the single emissivity models was negligible where considering a high initial crust cover fraction, but significant for higher effusion rate with a lower initial crust cover fraction (Figures 12-13). Such a validation approach is important for interpreting older flows where information on their emplacement is either limited or nonexistent.

837 The primary focus of this study was to apply PyFLOWGO using data constrained as much 838 as possible by only satellite data, and in the process, test one variable (emissivity), which has 839 always been assumed constant in past studies. We modified PyFLOWGO to accept two values 840 for emissivity and assigned those to the crust and molten fractions in the channel. The effective 841 emissivity term was thus a weighted sum of the crust fraction having a high emissivity (0.95) 842 plus the molten fraction having the lower emissivity (0.60). This change has a measureable 843 (linear) impact on the PyFLOWGO results for a theoretical flow and where applied to the actual 844 Tolbachik flows resulted in a slightly improved fit for the 2012 Leningradskoye flow and 845 significantly improved fit for the 1975 Cone II flow. As one might expect, the largest change in 846 the model results arises where a flow initially enters the channel free or nearly free of cooling, 847 higher emissivity crust. If a flow is modeled with a high initial crust fraction, the two end-member 848 emissivity modification will only provide a marginally better final fit. However, what we did not 849 explore here is assumption in PyFLOWGO that crust formation is related solely to flow velocity 850 rather than temperature. A more complex solution incorporating crust formation with flow cooling 851 (modulated by the lower emissivity) is warranted. Field images of the 2012 flow channels 852 commonly showed significantly less crust at a given position along the flow than was predicted

by PyFLOWGO. If this is the case, then we predict the lower emissivity of the molten fraction ofthe channel will have more of an impact.

855 The emissivity measurement of molten material has been presented in numerous recent 856 studies both in the laboratory and the field [Ramsey and Harris, 2016; Lee and Ramsey, 2016; 857 Lee et al., 2010; Abtahi et al., 2002]. The assessment of Burgi et al. [2002] for the emissivity of 858 the surface of the active lake at Erta Ale (0.74), albeit in the 1.1-1.7 µm region, is in agreement 859 with this study [see also Flynn et al. 2001]. This fundamental change in our understanding of 860 how efficiently materials radiate heat prior to solidifying is important for models such as 861 PyFLOWGO but also in any situation where an accurate thermal infrared non-contact 862 temperature is made. Emissivity measured over multiple wavelengths produces a spectrum that 863 relates information about the material's petrology, its state of atomic bond vibrations and angles, 864 and the amount of glass formation [see Ramsey and Fink, 1999]. The broadband emissivity, an 865 average over the entire wavelength region, is a measure of how efficiently that material emits heat. It should be noted that the prior studied of molten emissivity were conducted in the TIR 866 867 region (~ 5 – 25  $\mu$ m in the laboratory and ~ 8 – 12  $\mu$ m in the field/from orbit). Radiant heat loss, 868 however, is occurring over a larger portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, most notably in the 869 short-wave infrared region (~  $1 - 5 \mu m$ ). If the emissivity is closer to unity at these wavelengths, 870 then the impact of the lower emissivity in the thermal infrared region will be mitigated to a degree. 871 Further laboratory studies of emissivity in the 1-5 and 8-14 µm regions are planned to clarify this 872 question. Further study into the model's logic of linking crust formation to velocity rather than 873 temperature is also planned. This is likely resulting in an underestimate of the effect of the two 874 end-member emissivity approach. More model simulations with this logic changed to 875 temperature or temperature/velocity dependency are planned.

876 Conclusions.

877 The 2012-2013 TFE-50 eruption of Tolbachik volcano produced several large basaltic flow 878 fields containing large open channel flows. The largest of these was the Leningradskove flow, 879 which was emplaced in the early days of the eruption. The active period of this eruption was 880 captured by high spatial resolution VNIR to TIR image data from ASTER and ALI as well as high 881 temporal resolution, low spatial resolution image data from MODIS. The ASTER and ALI data 882 allowed the lava flow progress and flow field areal extent/volumes to be tracked, whereas the 883 MODIS data provided estimates of the lava time-averaged discharge rates. Using these datasets 884 as a means to constrain the input parameters of PyFLOWGO we were able to model the 885 Leningradskove flow as it appeared on 1 Dec 2012. Over the flow length of 11.3 km on that date, 886 the core temperate was modeled to have decreased by ~80 °C down-flow, which resulted in an 887 increase in viscosity from 10<sup>4</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> Pa·s and crystal fraction from 25 vol. % to near 60 vol.%. 888 Over the flow length, the crust cover varied from ~50% to > 90%, whereas the velocity decreased 889 from 3.5 m/s to < 0.5 m/s near the flow terminus.

These input parameters were assumed to be similar for the 1975 Cone II flow. Here, the ASTER GDEM was used for channel width and depth constraints. The wide channel and greater flow thickness suggests a higher effusion rate feeding this event, which were shown by the PyFLOWGO results. The Cone II flow can be modeled to have a higher effusion rate (1250 m<sup>3</sup>/s) and lower average crust coverage (~ 40%) than the Leningradskoye flow.

One important PyFLOWGO input parameter tested here was the effect of variable emissivity on flow cooling rates. We developed a new implementation of PyFLOWGO to account for a twocomponent emissivity model. This effective emissivity resulted in a measureable linear change in the model results and was strongly dependent on the crust fraction estimated by the model.

For the smaller and slower Leningradskoye flow, the crust fraction was higher at the start of the channel compared to the Cone II flow. This higher fraction of crust mitigates the impact of the lower emissivity for the molten fraction. This effect does, however, become an important factor for larger flows emplaced at higher velocities and lower degrees of crust cover.

Because the current implementation of all lava flow emplacement models tie crust formation to effective radiation temperature [Pieri et al. 1990], we argue that it is also appropriate to apply the two-end-member emissivity assumption. Such modifications, coupled with future studies of active channel-fed flow emplacement, will further constrain the uncertainties inherent in our understanding of lava flow heat loss and cooling dynamics.

908

#### 909 Acknowledgements.

910 The research of MSR has focused on Kamchatka for over a decade supported by several

911 NASA (NNX08AJ91G, NNX11AL29G, NNX14AQ96G) and NSF (1019558, 1524011) grants.

912 This project evolved out of that past work and forms the basis for a new NASA planetary

913 project funded under the SSW Program. MSR would like to thank the collaborators on those

914 past projects whose work helped facilitate this study (A. Belousov, M. Belousova, E. Gordeev,

915 J. Krippner and R. Wessels). MOC and AH acknowledge the Agence National de la Recherche

916 through the project LAVA (Program: DS0902 2016; Project: ANR-16 CE39-0009,

917 http://www.agence-nationale-recherche.fr/Projet-ANR-16-CE39-0009) for full support. This is

918 ANR-LAVA contribution no. 9.

#### 919 Bibliography.

- Abrams, M., Bailey, B., Tsu, H., and Hato, M., The ASTER Global DEM, Photogram. Eng. Rem.
  Sens., 76, 344-348, 2010.
- Abtahi, A.A., Kahle, A.B., Abbott, E.A., Gillespie, A.R., Sabol, D., Yamada, G., Pieri, D.,
  Emissivity changes in basalt cooling after eruption from Pu'u 'O'o, Kilauea, Hawaii, Amer.
  Geophys. Union Eos Trans., abstract V71A-1263, 2002.
- Ball, M. and Pinkerton, H. Factors affecting the accuracy of thermal imaging cameras in volcanology, J. Geophys. Res., 111, B11203. DOI: 10.1029/2005JB003829, 2006.
- Belousov, A., Belousova, M., Edwards, B., Volynets, A., Melnikov, D., Overview of the
  precursors and dynamics of the 2012–13 basaltic fissure eruption of Tolbachik Volcano,
  Kamchatka, Russia, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 307, 22-37, 2015.
- Bulletin Global Volcanism Network (BGVN), Tolbachik Volcano, Smithsonian Institution,
   Washington, D.C., December, 2012.
- Burgi, P.-Y., Caillet, M. and Haefeli, S., Field temperature measurements at Erta 'Ale lava lake,
  Ethiopia, Bull. Volcanol., 64, 472-485, 2002.
- Carter, A.J., Ramsey, M.S., Belousov, A.B., Detection of a new summit crater on Bezymianny
   Volcano lava dome: satellite and field-based thermal data, Bull. Volcanol., doi:
   10.1007/s00445-007-0113-x., 2007.
- Carter, A.J., Girina, O., Ramsey, M.S., Demyanchuk, Y.V., ASTER and field observations of the
  24 December 2006 eruption of Bezymianny Volcano, Russia, Rem. Sens. Environ., 112, doi:
  10.1016/j.rse.2007.12.001, 2569-2577, 2008.
- 940 Chevrel, M.O., Labroquère, J., Harris, A.J.L., Rowland, S.K., PyFLOWGO: An open-source
  941 platform for simulation of channelized lava thermo-rheological properties, Comp. Geosci.,
  942 111 (Supplement C), 167-180, 2018.
- 943 Coppola, D., Barsotti, S., Cigolini, C., Laiolo, M., Pfeffer, M.A., Ripepe, M., Monitoring the
  944 effusion rates, volumes and emplacement style of large lava flows using MODIS-MIROVA
  945 data: the case of the Holuhraun-Bárðarbunga 2014-2015 eruption, (this volume).
- Coppola, D., Laiolo, M., Cigolini, C., Delle Donne, D., and Ripepe, M., Enhanced volcanic hotspot detection using MODIS IR data: Results from the MIROVA system, in Harris, A.J.L., et
  al., eds., Detecting, Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions: Geological Society of
  London Special Publication 426, p. 181–205, doi:10.1144/SP426.5, 2016.
- Coppola, D., Laiolo, M., Piscopo, D., Cigolini, C., Rheological control on the radiant density of
  active lava flows and domes, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 249, 39–48,
  doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.09.005.C, 2013.
- Coppola, D., James, M.R., Staudacher, T., Cigolini, C.A., Comparison of field- and satellitederived thermal flux at Piton de la Fournaise: Implications for the calculation of lava discharge
  rate, Bull. Volcanol., 72(3), 341-356, doi: 10.1007/s00445-009-0320-8, 2010.

- Crisp, J., Kahle, A.B. and Abbott, E.A., Thermal infrared spectral character of Hawaiian Basaltic
   glasses, J. Geohpys. Res., 95(B13), 21657-21669, 1990.
- Crisp, J., Baloga, S., A method for estimating eruption rates of planetary lava flows, Icarus, 85
   (2), 512-515, 1990.
- 960 Crown, D.A. and Baloga, S.A., Pahoehoe toe dimensions, morphology, and branching 961 relationships at Mauna Ulu, Kilauea Volcano, Hawai'i, Bull. Volcanol., 61 :288-305, 1999.
- Dai, Chunli, Howat, I.M., Measuring Lava Flows With ArcticDEM: Application to the 2012–2013
   Eruption of Tolbachik, Kamchatka, Geophys. Res. Lett. 44 (24), 12133-12140, 2017.
- Davies, A.G., Chien, S., Tran, D., Doubleday, J., The NASA Volcano Sensor Web, advanced autonomy and the remote sensing of volcanic eruptions, in: Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T.,
  Garel, F. and Carn, S.A. (eds.), Detecting, Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions,
  Geol. Soc., London, Special Publications, 426, 137-158, 2016.
- Digenis, C.J., Lencioni, D.E., Bicknell, W.E., New Millennium EO-1 Advanced Land Image, in
   Earth Observing Systems III, 3439, Int. Soc. Optics Photonics, 49-56, 1998.
- Donegan, S.J. and Flynn, L.P., Comparison of the response of the Landsat 7 Enhanced
   Thematic Mapper Plus and the Earth Observing-1 Advanced Land Imager over active lava
   flows, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 135, 105-126, 2004.
- 973 Dozier, J., A method for satellite identification of surface temperature fields of subpixel 974 resolution, Rem. Sens. Environ., 11, 221-229, 1981.
- Dragoni, M., A dynamical model of lava flows cooling by radiation, Bull. Volcanol., 51, 88-95,
  1989.
- Dragoni, M., and A. Tallarico, Assumptions in the evaluation of lava effusion rates from heat
   radiation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L08302,doi:10.1029/2009GL037411, 2009.
- Duda, K.A., Ramsey, M., Wessels, R., Dehn, J., Optical satellite volcano monitoring: A multisensor rapid response system, in: P.P. Ho, (ed.), Geoscience and Remote Sensing, INTECH
  Press, Vukovar, Croatia, ISBN 978-953-307-003-2, 473-496, 2009.
- Dvigalo, V.N., Svirid, I.Y., Shevchenko, A.V., The first quantitative estimates of parameters for
  the Tolbachik Fissure Eruption of 2012-2013 from aerophotogrammetric observations, J.
  Volcanol. Seismol., 8(5), 261-268, 2013.
- Edwards, B.R., Belousov, A., Belousova, M., Melnikov, D., Observations on lava, snowpack and
  their interactions during the 2012-13 Tolbachik eruption, Klyuchevskoy Group, Kamchatka,
  Russia, J. Volcanol. Geotherm Res., 307, 107-119, 2015.Favalli, M., Pareschi, M., Neri, A.
  and Isola, I., Forecasting lava flow paths by a stochastic approach, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32,
  L03305, doi:10.1029/2004GL021718, 2005.
- Fedotov, S.A., Balesta, S.T., Dvigalo, V.N., Razina, A.A., Flerov, G.B., Chirkov, A.M., New
  Tolbachik Volcanoes, Chapter 10, in Fedotov, S.A. and Masurenkov, Yu.P., (eds.), Active
  Volcanoes of Kamchatka vol. 1, Nauka Publishers, Moscow, Russia, 214-279, 1991.

- Flynn, L.P. and Mouginis-Mark, P.J., Cooling rate of an active Hawaiian lava flow from nighttime
   spectroradiometer measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 19(17), 1783-1786, 1992.
- Flynn, L.P. and Mouginis-Mark, P.J., Temperature measurements of an active lava channel from
   spectral measurements, Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. Bull. Volcanol., 56, 297-301, 1994.
- Fujisada, H., Bailey, G.B., Kelly, G.G., Hara, S., Abrams, M.J., ASTER DEM performance, IEEE
   Trans. Geosci. Rem. Sens., 43(12), 2707-2714, 2005.
- Garel, F., Kaminski, E., Tait, S., Limare, A., A fluid dynamics perspective on the interpretation of
  the surface thermal signal of lava flows, in: Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T., Garel F., Carn, S.A.
  (eds), Detecting, modelling and responding to effusive eruptions. Geological Society,
  London, Special Publications, 426, 243-256, doi: 10.1144/SP426.6, 2015.
- Garel, F., Kaminski, E., Tait, S., Limare, A., An analogue study of the influence of solidification
  on the advance and surface thermal signature of lava flows, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 396, 4655, 2014.
- Garel, F., Kaminski, E., Tait, S., Limare, A., An experimental study of the surface thermal
  signature of hot subaerial isoviscous gravity currents: Implications for thermal monitoring of
  lava flows and domes, J. Geophys. Res., 117:B02205, doi: 10.1029/2011JB008698, 2012.
- Gordeev, E.I., Muravev, Ya D., Samoilenko, S.B., Volynets, A.O., Melnikov, D.V., Dvigalo, V.N,
  The Tolbachik fissure eruption of 2012–2013: Preliminary results, in Doklady Earth Sciences,
  452, 2, 1046-1050, Springer US, 2013.
- Guest, J.E., Kilburn, C.R.L., Pinkerton, H., Duncan, A.M., The evolution of lava flow-fields:
  observations of the 1981 and 1983 eruptions of Mount Etna, Sicily, Bull. Volcanol., 49, 527–
  540, 1987.
- 1015 Harris A.J.L., Thermal Remote Sensing of Active Volcanoes. Cambridge, 2013.
- Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T., Carn, S., Garel., F., Risk evaluation, detection and simulation during effusive eruption disasters, in: Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T., Garel F., Carn, S.A. (eds),
  Detecting, modelling and responding to effusive eruptions. Geological Society, London,
  Special Publications, 426, 1-22. doi:10.1144/SP426.11, 2016.
- Harris, A.J. and Rowland, S.K., FLOWGO 2012: An updated framework for thermo-rheological
  simulations of channel-contained lava, In: Hawaiian Volcanoes: From Source to Surface,
  Geophysical Monograph Series, 208, 457-481, 2015.
- Harris, A.J.L., Baloga, S., Lava discharge rates from satellite-measured heat flux, Geophys. Re.
  Lett., 36, L19302, doi:10.1029/2009GL039717, 2009.
- Harris, A.J.L., Rowland, SK, Effusion rate controls on lava flow length and the role of heat loss:
  A review, from: Thordarson, T., Self, S., Larsen, G., Rowland, S.K., Hoskuldsson, A. (eds),
  2009.
- Harris, A.J.L., Dehn, J., Calvari, S., Lava effusion rate definition and measurement: A review,
  Bull. Volcanol., 70, 1-22, doi:10.100 7/s00445-007-0120-y, 2007a.

- Harris, A., Favalli, M., Mazzarini, F., Pareschi, M.T., Best-fit results from application of a thermo rheological model for channelized lava flow to high spatial resolution morphological data,
   Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(L01301), doi:10.1029/2006GL028126, 2007b.
- Harris, A., Favalli, M., Mazzarini, F., Pareschi, M., Best-fit results from application of a thermorheological model for channelized lava flow to high spatial resolution morphological data,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L01301, 2007.
- Harris, A., Dehn, J., Patrick, M., Calvari, S., Ripepe, M., Lodato, L., Lava effusion rates from
  hand-held thermal infrared imagery: An example from the June 2003 effusive activity at
  Stromboli, Bull. Volcanol., 68, 107-117, 2005.
- Harris, A.J.L. and Rowland, S., FLOWGO: A kinematic thermo-rheological model for lava flowing
   in a channel, Bull. Volc., 63, 20-44, 2001.
- Harris, A.J.L., Flynn, L.P., Keszthelyi, L., Mouginis-Mark, P.J., Rowland, S.K., Resing, J.A.,
   Calculation of lava effusion rates from Landsat TM data, Bull. Volcanol., 60, 52-71, 1998.
- Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T., Garel, F., Carn, S.A., Detecting, Modelling and Responding to
  Effusive Eruptions, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 426,
  doi.org/10.1144/SP426.29, 2016.
- Hearn, D.R., Digenis, C.J., Lencioni, D.E., Mendenhall, J.A., Evans, J.B., Welsh, R.D., EO-1
  Advanced Land Imager overview and spatial performance, in Geosci. Rem. Sens. Symp.,
  2001, IGARSS'01, IEEE 2001 International, vol. 2, 897-900, 2001.
- 1049 Holman, J.P., Heat Transfer, 2nd ed., London: McGraw Hill, 713 p., 1992.
- Hon, K., Kauahikaua, J., Denlinger, R. and McKay, K., Emplacement and inflation of pahoehoe
  sheet flows: observations and measurements of active lava flows on Kilauea volcano, Hawaii,
  Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 106, 351-370, 1994.
- Hawaii Volcano Observatory (HVO), Kīlauea Volcano 2018 Summit and Lower East Rift Zone
   (LERZ) Brief Overview of Events April 17 to October 5, 2018, HVO Report, 2018.
- Kahle, A.B., Adams, M.J., Abbott, E.A., Mouginis-Mark, P.J. Realmuto, V.J., Remote Sensing
  on Mauna Loa, Am. Geophys. Un. Monogr., 92, 145-170, 1995.
- 1057 Kamchatka Volcanic Eruption Response Team (KVERT), Plosky Tolbachik, VONA/KVERT
  1058 Weekly Release, http://www.kscnet.ru/ivs/kvert/van/index.php?type=3m, December 06,
  1059 23:02 UTC, 2012.
- Kilburn, C.R.J., Lava crusts, a'a flow lengthening and the pahoehoe-a'a transition, Active Lavas:
   Monitoring and Modelling, 263-280, 1993.
- Kubanek, J., Richardson, J.A., Charbonnier, S.J., Connor, L.J., Lava flow mapping and volume
   calculations for the 2012–2013 Tolbachik, Kamchatka, fissure eruption using bistatic
   TanDEM-X InSAR, Bull. Volcanol., 77(12), 106, 2015.
- Lee, R.J. and Ramsey, M.S., What is the emissivity of active basaltic lava flows?, AGU Fall Mtg.,2016.

- Lee, R.J., King, P.L. and Ramsey, M.S., Spectral analysis of synthetic quartzofeldspathic
  glasses using laboratory thermal infrared spectroscopic methods, J. Geophys. Res., 115,
  B06202, doi:10.1029/2009JB006672, 2010.
- Lillesand, T.M. and Kiefer, R.W. Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation, New York: JohnWiley & Sons, 721 p., 1987.
- Melnikov, D. and Volynets, A.O., Remote sensing and petrological observations on the 2012–
  2013 fissure eruption at Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka: Implications for reconstruction of the
  eruption chronology, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 307, 89-97, 2015.
- Miyamoto, H. and Papp, K. Rheology and topography control the path of a lava flow: Insight from numerical simulations over a preexisting topography, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L16608, doi:10.1029/2004GL020626, 2004.
- 1078 Miyamoto, H., Sasaki, S., Simulating lava flows by an improved cellular automata method, 1079 Comp. Geosci., 23 (3), 283-291, 1997.
- Miyamoto, H., Crown, D.A., A simplified two-component model for the lateral growth of pahoehoe
   lobes, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 157 (4), 331-342, 2006.
- 1082 MODVOLC website, http://modis.higp.hawaii.edu/, 2013.
- Moxham, R.M., Thermal surveillance of volcanoes, In The surveillance and prediction of volcanic
   activity, Paris, Unesco, p. 103-124, 1971.
- Neal, C.A., et al., The 2018 rift eruption and summit collapse of Kīlauea Volcano, Science,
   10.1126/science.aav7046, 2018.
- Peterson, D.W., Holcomb, R.T., Tilling, R.I., Christiansen, R.L., Development of lava tubes in
  the light of observations at Mauna Ulu, Kilauea volcano, Hawaii, Bull. Volcanol., 56, 343e360,
  1089
- Pieri, D.C., Glaze, L.S., Abrams, M.J., Thermal radiance observations of an active lava flow
   during the June 1984 eruption of Mount Etna, Geology, 18, 1018-1022, 1990.
- Pieri, D., Baloga, S.M., Eruption rate, area, and length relationships for some Hawaiian lava
   flows, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 30, 29-45, 1986.
- Plechov, P., Blundy, J., Nekrylov, N., Melekhova, E., Shcherbakov, V., Tikhonova, M.S.,
  Petrology and volatile content of magmas erupted from Tolbachik Volcano, Kamchatka,
  2012–13, J. Volcanol. and Geotherm. Res., 307, 182-199, 2015.
- Ramsey, M.S. and Fink, J.H., Estimating silicic lava vesicularity with thermal remote sensing: A
   new technique for volcanic mapping and monitoring, Bull. Volcanol., 61, 32-39, 1999.
- Ramsey, M.S., Chevrel, M.O., Harris, A.J.L., Modeling the 2012-2013 lava flows of Tolbachik,
   Russia using thermal infrared satellite data and PyFLOWGO, AGU Fall Mtg., 2017.
- Ramsey, M.S., Synergistic use of satellite thermal detection and science: A decadal perspective
  using ASTER, in: Harris, A.J.L., De Groeve, T., Garel, F. and Carn, S.A. (eds.), Detecting,
  Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions, Geol. Soc., London, Special Publications,
  426, doi:10.1144/SP426.23, 115-136, 2016.

- Ramsey, M.S. and Harris, A.J.L., Modelling the thermal and infrared spectral properties of active
   vents: Comparing basaltic lava flows of Tolbachik, Russia to Arsia Mons, Mars, AGU Fall
   Mtg., 2016.
- Ramsey. M.S. and Harris, A.J.L., Volcanology 2020: How will thermal remote sensing of volcanic
  surface activity evolve over the next decade?, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 249, 217-233,
  2013.
- 1111 Ramsey, M.S., Wessels, R.L., Anderson, S.W., Surface textures and dynamics of the 2005 lava
  1112 dome at Shiveluch Volcano, Kamchatka, Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., doi:10.1130/B30580.1,
  1113 2012.
- 1114 Ramsey, M.S. and Dehn, J., Spaceborne observations of the 2000 Bezymianny, Kamchatka
  1115 eruption: The integration of high-resolution ASTER data into near real-time monitoring using
  1116 AVHRR, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res., 135, 127-146, 2004.
- 1117 Rhéty, M., Harris, A., Villeneuve, N., Gurioli, L., Médard, E., Chevrel, O. and Bachélery, P., A
  1118 comparison of cooling-limited and volume-limited flow systems: Examples from channels in
  1119 the Piton de la Fournaise April 2007 lava-flow field, Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst., 18(9),
  1120 3270-3291, 2017.
- 1121 Riker, J.M., Cashman, K.V., Kauahikaua, J.P., Montierth, C.M., The length of channelized lava
  1122 flows: Insight from the 1859 eruption of Mauna Loa Volcano, Hawaii. J. Volcanol. Geotherm.
  1123 Res. 183, 139-156, 2009.
- Robert, B., Harris, A., Gurioli, L., Médard, E., Sehlke, A., Whittington, A., Textural and rheological
  evolution of basalt flowing down a lava channel, Bull. Volcanol., 76, 824, doi:
  10.1007/s00445-014-0824-8, 2014.
- Rose, S.R., Watson, I.M., Ramsey, M.S., Hughes, C.G., Thermal deconvolution: Accurate
  retrieval of multispectral infrared emissivity from thermally-mixed volcanic surfaces, Rem.
  Sens. Environ., 140, 690-703, 2014.
- Rose, S.R. and Ramsey, M.S., The 2005 eruption of Kliuchevskoi volcano: Chronology and
  processes derived from ASTER spaceborne and field-based data, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res.,
  184, 367–380, 2009.
- 1133 Rothery, D.A., Francis, P.W., Wood, C.A., Volcano monitoring using short wavelength infrared 1134 data from satellites, J. Geophys. Res., 93(B7), 7993-8008, 1988.
- Sawyer, G.M. and Burton, M.R., Effects of a volcanic plume on thermal imaging data, Geophys.
   Res. Lett., 33, L14311. DOI: 10.1029/2005GL025320, 2006.
- Tachikawa, T., Hato, M., Kaku, M. and Iwasaki, A., Characteristics of ASTER GDEM version 2,
   Geosci. Rem. Sens. (IGARSS), 2011 IEEE International, 3657-3660, 2011.
- Tarquini, S., A review of mass and energy flow through a lava flow system: Insights provided
  from a non-equilibrium perspective, Bull. Volcanol., 79, 64, doi:10.1007/s00445-017-1145-5,
  2017.
- van Rossum, G., Python tutorial, Technical Report CS-R9526, Centrum voor Wiskunde en
   Informatica (CWI), Amsterdam, May 1995.

- 1144 Volynets, A.O., Edwards, B.R., Melnikov, D., Yakushev, A., Griboedova, I., Monitoring of the
  1145 volcanic rock compositions during the 2012-2013 fissure eruption at Tolbachik volcano,
  1146 Kamchatka, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 307, 120-132, 2015.
- 1147 Walker, G.P.L., Lengths of Lava Flows, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond., 274, 107-118, 1973.
- Walker, G.P.L., Basaltic volcanoes and volcanic systems. In: Sigurdsson, H. (ed.), Encyclopedia
   of Volcanoes, Academic Press, 283-289, 2000.
- Wessels, R.L., Ramsey, M.S., Dehn, J., Senyukov, S., Mapping elevated temperatures on a
   thirty-year-old basalt flow of New Tolbachik Volcano using satellite and ground-based
   thermal infrared, Eos Trans. AGU, 86(52): Fall Mtg. Suppl., abs. V31A-0605, 2005.
- Wooster, M.J., Zhukov, B., Oertel, D., Fire radiative energy for quantitative study of biomass
   burning: Derivation from the BIRD experimental satellite and comparison to MODIS fire
   products, Rem. Sens. Environ., 86, 83-107, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00070-1, 2003.
- Wright, R., Garbeil, H., Harris, A.J., Using infrared satellite data to drive a thermo rheological/stochastic lava flow emplacement model: A method for near-real-time volcanic
   hazard assessment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, 1-5, 2008.
- Wright, R., Flynn, L.P., Garbeil, H., Harris, A.J.L., Pilger, E., Automated volcanic eruption
   detection using MODIS, Rem. Sens. Environ., 82, 135-155, 2002.
- Wright, R., Blake, S., Harris, A., Rothery, D., A simple explanation for the space-based
  calculation of lava eruptions rates, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 192, 223-233, doi:10.1016/S0012821X(01)00443-5, 2001.
- 1164 Wright, R. and Flynn, L.P., On the retrieval of lava-flow surface temperatures from infrared 1165 satellite data, Geology, 31(10), 893-896, 2003.
- Yamaguchi, Y., Kahle, A.B., Tsu, H., Kawakami, T. and Pniel, M., Overview of Advanced
  Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER), IEEE Trans. Geosci.
  Rem. Sens., 36, doi:10.1109/36.700991, 1062-1071, 1998.